

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

THE RIGHT SITE COALITION, an unincorporated California association,

Petitioner/Plaintiff,

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, THE BOARD OF EDUCATION FOR THE LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

Respondents/Defendants.

Case No. BS 100398

Judge: Hon. Daniel Solis Pratt

[PROPERED] JUDGMENT GRANTING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE

Trial Date: December 18, 2006

25 26

28

27

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP 747649.01/LA

LAW OFFICES

1	The petition of The Right Site Coalition for a peremptory writ of mandate compelling
2	respondents Los Angeles Unified School District and The Board of Education for the Los Angeles
3	Unified School District ("Respondents") to comply with the California Environmental Quality
4	Act, Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq., came on for hearing before the Court on
5	December 18, 2006. Robert P. Silverstein and J. Miguel Flores of The Silverstein Law Firm
6	appeared on behalf of Petitioner, The Right Site Coalition. Patrick Perry of Allen, Matkins, Leck,
7	Gamble, Mallory & Natsis LLP appeared on behalf of Respondents.
8	Evidence having been received by the Court, arguments having been presented, and the
9	Court having made its rulings, findings and order,
10	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:
11	The petition is granted. A peremptory writ of mandate shall issue from the Court,
12	commanding Respondents to:
13	(1) Fully comply with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act
14	("CEQA") by preparing an Environmental Impact Report ("EIR") for the Central
15	Region Elementary School # 14 project ("Project").
16	(2) Invalidate any approvals already obtained for the Project; and
17	(3) Be restrained and enjoined from undertaking any activities or construction pursuant to
18	any approvals already obtained for the Project unless an EIR has been prepared,
19	publicly circulated, and approved. Respondents shall not be restrained or enjoined
20	from undertaking actions necessary to comply with the requirements of CEQA or other
21	applicable requirements of State law.
22	IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:
23	Petitioner has presented a fair argument of significant environmental impacts that shall be
24	addressed in an EIR, including related to the following subjects:
25	(1) Traffic;
26	(2) Pedestrian safety;
27	(3) Public services, including emergency response and impacts to the adjacent Fire Station
28	by the proposed closure of Marathon Street;

LAW OFFICES